Mail No.: EL615431255US

Date Mailed: March 24, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

application of:

Rong-Chang LIANG

Appl. No. 09/518,488

Filed: March 3, 2000

For: **ELECTROPHORETIC DISPLAY**

AND NOVEL PROCESS FOR ITS

MANUFACTURE

Art Unit: 2873

Examiner: Tra, Tuyen Q.

Atty. Docket: 07783.0002.NPUS00

Information Disclosure Statement

Mail Stop RCE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Listed on accompanying Form PTO-1449 are documents that may be considered material to the examination of this application, in compliance with the duty of disclosure requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98.

Where the publication date of a listed document does not provide a month of publication, the year of publication of the listed document is sufficiently earlier than the effective U.S. filing date and any foreign priority date so that the month of publication is not in issue. Applicants have listed publication dates on the attached PTO-1449 based on information presently available to the undersigned. However, the listed publication dates should not be construed as an admission that the information was actually published on the date indicated.

Applicants reserve the right to establish the patentability of the claimed invention over any of the information provided herewith, and/or to prove that this information may not be prior art, and/or to prove that this information may not be enabling for the teachings purportedly offered.

This statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, or that information more material to the examination of the present patent application does not exist. The Examiner is specifically requested not to rely solely on the material submitted herewith. It is further understood that the Examiner will consider information that had been cited by or submitted

to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in a prior application relied on under 35 U.S.C. § 120. 1138 OG 37, 38 (May 19, 1992).

Applicants have checked the appropriate boxes below.

- 1. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed;
 - □ a. Within three months of the U.S. filing date of a national application other than a continued prosecution application under §1.53(d);
 - □ b. Within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in §1.491 in an international application;
 - □ c. Before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits;
 - d. Before the mailing of a first Office Action after filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.115.

No statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e) or fee is required.

or;

- □ 2. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed after the period specified in paragraph 1(a)-1(d) above, but before the mailing date of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance, or action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and
 - □ a. I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure

 Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office
 in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the
 filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1), or
 - □ b. I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2), or
 - □ c. Attached is our Check No. _____ in the amount of \$ ____ in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p).
- □ 3. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date and after the mailing date of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance, but

		on or before payment of the Issue Fee. Attached is our Check No in the				
		amount of \$ in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i), and				
	□ a.	I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure				
		Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office				
		in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the				
		filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1), or				
	□ b.	I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement				
		was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart				
		foreign application, and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry,				
		no item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement				
		was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than				
		three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37				
		C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).				
□ 4.	Releva	ance of the non-English language document(s) is discussed in the present specification.				
□ 5.	The document(s) was/were cited in a corresponding foreign application. An English					
		language version of the foreign search report is attached for the Examiner's				
		information.				
□ 6.	A concise explanation of the relevance of the non-English language document(s) appears					
		below:				
□ 7.	The E	xaminer's attention is directed to co-pending U.S. Patent Application No,				
		filed, which is directed to related technical subject matter. The				
		identification of this U.S. Patent Application is not to be construed as a waiver of				
		secrecy as to that application now or upon issuance of the present application as a				
		patent. The Examiner is respectfully requested to consider the cited application and				
		the art cited therein during examination.				
□ 8.	Copies	s of the documents were cited by or submitted to the Office in Application No.				
		, filed, which is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35				
		U.S.C. § 120. Thus, copies of these documents are not attached. 37 C.F.R.				
		§ 1.98(d).				

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner initial and return a copy of the enclosed PTO-1449, and to indicate in the official file wrapper of this patent application that the documents have been considered.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 08-3038 referencing docket number 07783.0002.NPUS00.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 24, 2004

Albert P. Halluin (Reg. No. 25,227) Viola T. Kung (Reg. No. 41,131)

HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP

301 Ravenswood Avenue Box No. 34 Menlo Park, CA 94025

Tel: (650) 463-8109 Tel: (650) 463-8181 Fax: (650) 463-8400



SHEET 1 OF 1

	ATION DISCL STATEMENT	OSURE	ATTY. DOCKET NO. SERIAL NO. 07783.0002.NPUS00 09/518,488 APPLICANTS: RONG, Chang-Liang, et al.				
	PTO-1449		FILING DATE: 03/03/2000 GROUP: 2873				
		U.S	S. PATENT DOCUMENTS				
EXAMINER'S INITIALS	PATENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILIN	G DATE
	6,319,381	Nov 2001	Nemelka				
	6,652,075	Nov 2003	Jacobson				
	6,524,153	Feb 2003	Ikeda et al				
		FOR	EIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS				
EXAMINER'S	PATENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY (Inventor)	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION	
INITIALS						YES	NO
	JP 01-300232	Dec 1989	Japan (English translation included)				
	JP 04-113386	Apr 1992	Japan (English translation included)				
	JP 59-034518	Feb 1984	Japan (English abstract included)			·	
	JP 62-099727	May 1987	Japan (English abstract included)				
	JP 02-223936	Sep 1990	Japan (English abstract included)				
	JP 09-160052	June 1997	Japan (English abstract included)				
	OTHER DO	CUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pe	rtinent P	ages, Etc.)		
			DATE CONSIDERED				

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

^{*}If an asterisk is placed beside the reference number, a copy is not provided because the reference was previously cited by or submitted to the PTO in a prior application that is identical in the statement and relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. §120. 37 C.F.R. §1.98 (d).